

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

By the foregoing amendment, claim 6 has been canceled, claims 1, 3-5 have been amended, and claims 7-12 have been added. Claims 1-5, 7-12 remain pending.

In the Office Action mailed August 28, 2001, the Patent Office (PTO) rejected claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferguson (U.S. Pat. No. 5,649,186); claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson in view of Borman et al. (U.S. pat. No. 6,226,655); and claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson in view of Borman et al. and Mantha et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,163,779).

Rejection of claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferguson

Claim 3 has been amended to include “forwarding the first e-mail message to a user’s terminal” so that a copy of the retrieved Web page may be “reviewed by the user at the user’s terminal.” Claim 4 has been amended to also include “forwarding the second e-mail message to the user’s terminal” so that a copy of the other Web page may be “reviewed by the user at the user’s terminal.” Likewise, claim 5 has been amended to include the subject matter of now-canceled claim 6, specifically, “forwarding the at least one e-mail message” having a Web page from the server to the client.

On the contrary, Ferguson shows a system and method for creating a HTML page, i.e., a Web-formatted page, that contains information sorted and queried from searches on the Internet. The HTML page is then made available to the end-user for viewing, wherein the page represents a custom network-based newspaper. See Ferguson’s abstract, ll. 12-16. Indeed, Ferguson’s purpose is to avoid the need for the end-user to review each and every Web page or Website returned from a search. Thus, the end-user in Ferguson does not receive e-mails that contain actual Web pages from the Internet *as claimed* because any relevant information from such Web pages are parsed, sorted, and added in the created custom HTML page.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claims 3-5 are allowable over the references of record.

Rejection of claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson in view of Borman et al.

Claim 1 has been amended to include “forwarding the e-mail message to an end-user’s terminal wherein the copy of the at least one Web page may be reviewed by the end-user.” As explained above, the end-user in Ferguson does not receive e-mails that contain actual Web pages from the Internet *as claimed* because any relevant information from such Web pages are parsed, sorted, and added in the created custom HTML page. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is allowable over the references of record.

The rejection of claim 6 is moot in view of its cancellation.

Rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson in view of Borman et al. and Mantha et al.

The rejection of claim 2 is respectfully traversed for the same reason indicated above with respect to claim 1. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that claim 1 is allowable over the references of record.

New claims

New claims 7-12 have been added to cover additional aspects of the present invention, and it is respectfully submitted that they are allowable over the references of record.

Conclusion

For at least all of the above reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention is neither disclosed nor suggested by the references of record, and the claims now pending patentably distinguish the present invention from the references of record. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections and an issuance of a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the disclosure by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "Version with markings to show changes made."

Should the Examiner determine that any further action is necessary to place this application into better form, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number listed below.


Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/28/2002

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
607 14th Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 508-5800

By:

FL


George T. Marcou
Registration No. 33,014

#47, 485